INDONESIAN ROCK-PHOSPHATE EFFECTIVITY FOR MAIZE CROP ON ULTISOLS SOILS
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ABSTRACT

Rock phosphate is a slow release phosphate source which can be directly used on acid soils. There are some rock phosphate deposits in Indonesia. Total phosphate and calcium content in rock phosphate vary between 8.79 – 31.88% P₂O₅, and 0.60 – 57.50% Ca. The objective of these research is to study the Indonesian rock phosphate effectiveness for maize on Ultisol soil. The research was conducted at green house using randomized complete block design, 8 treatments and 5 replications. The treatments consist of 5 kinds of different Indonesian rock phosphate, control, superphos fertilizer and Tunisia Rock Phosphate as a standard comparison of P fertilizer. Relative Agronomic Effectiveness Analyses was used to see the effectiveness of each rock phosphate. The result of these study shows that the effectiveness of Rock Phosphate from Jampang Tengah Sukabumi (DE-1), Brati Kayen Pati (DE-9), Padaherang Ciamis (DE-3), and Karang Mulyani Ciamis (DE-5) were equally the same as Superphos. Indonesian Rock Phosphate’s effectiveness was almost the same as Tunisian Rock Phosphate. Phosphate fertilizing using rock phosphate obviously increased the soil content of phosphorus, both the available P and the reserved ones, and Superphos did better than the rock phosphate. Rock phosphate effectiveness on Typic Plinthudults was lower than that on TypicKanhapludults.
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INTRODUCTION

Developing food crops is spreading more widely to out of Java where the lands are dominated by acid and advanced weathering soils. Phosphorus nutrient is one of the limiting factors for plant growth in the advanced weathering soils. The study on Typic Dystrudepts in Pauh Menang Sorolanguin Bangko Jambi shows that lime treatment and addition of 38 kg P/ha can increase the P content in the soil and increase maize yield (Santoso et al., 2000, Santoso et al., 2001). There are 99.6 millions ha or 69% of Indonesia upland (Hidayat dan Mulyani, 2005) and 51.8 millions ha of the lands are suitable for food and perennial crops (Mulyani et al., 2004).

Rock phosphate is a source P fertilizer and can be used directly for acid soils. The biggest deposits of rock phosphate in the world are those in USA, China, Marocco, Southeast Sahara and Russia which have 41, 31, 22 and 11 million tons of rock phosphate respectively, or they have 72% of the world rock phosphate deposit (FAO, 2004). Moersidi (1999) said that there were rock phosphate deposits in Sumenep, Malang, Tuban, Lamongan, Grobogan, Pati, Ciamis, and Bogor. Their total-P contents varied from 8.79 to 31.88% P₂O₅, and their Ca contents varied from 0.60 to 57.50%. The range of P₂O₅ content of Sampang Rock Phosphate was 2.28 to 7.09%, 5.61 to 37.79% for Pamekasan, and 6.20 to 44.23% for Sumenep, and their deposits were around 5,000,000 m³, 23,400 m³, and 827,500 m³ respectively (Yusuf, 2000).

Rock phosphate is a source of P fertilizer which slow release and a height of Ca content. Rock phosphate is more effective in acidic soils.
with high content of Aluminum, Iron and Mangan. The price of rock phosphate (per element) is cheaper, and its effectivity is just almost the same as that of SP-36 or TSP, and it can be added all at once for some plant seasons. Research on rock phosphate’s effectivity of Indonesian Rock Phosphate was held on Typic Hapludox in Tanah Laut, South Kalimantan. The result shows that the yield on the second rock phosphate’s residue was higher than that treated with SP-36 fertilizer (Sutriadi et al., 2005).

The optimum dosage of P fertilizer for maize at Ultisols in Lampung was 39 kg P/ha, equal to 90 kg P₂O₅/ha (Purnomo et al., 2007), and the dosage at Inceptisols in Bogor was 40 kg P/ha (Kasno et al., 2007 and Kasno and Subardja, 2010). The content of available P and the P adsorption of Mucuna Sp. in the plot added by 400 kg TSP and 1 t Rock Phosphate ha⁻¹ (North Carolina and Marroco) made no difference (Adiningsih and Fairhurst, 1996). The dosage of rockphosphate and Superphosphate used in this study was 40 kg P ha⁻¹.

The aim of this research is to study the effectivity of Indonesian Rock Phosphate Deposits for maize at TypicPlintudults and TypicKanhapludults.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This research used 5 samples of rock phosphate selected from 20 survey samples. The P content of the 5 rock phosphate samples ranged from 22.02 - 36.41% P₂O₅ and the total of P₂O₅ and soluble in citric acid was 5.88-35.46% (Table 1). Not only did rock phosphate have P nutrient content but it also contained 22.32 - 40.86% CaO. Rock Phosphate Quality Requirement for agriculture, based on SNI 02-3776-2005 (BSN, 2005), involved 4 kinds of rock phosphate having the A category of quality and the only one having the C category of quality.

Randomized Complete Block Design was used in this green house experiment, with 8 treatment and 5 replication. The treatments comprised 5 selected rock phosphate fertilizer, plus control, Superphos (18% P₂O₅) and Tunisian Rock Phosphate (28.01% P₂O₅) as the comparator. The dosage of both rock phosphate and Superphosphos was 40 kg P/ha. During the treatments, 400 kg Urea, 100 kg KCl and 2 t manure ha⁻¹ as the basic fertilizer were added.

Bulk Soil sample used for this experiment was taken from Typic Plintudults of Buyut Udk village, Central Lampung (04°57'57" S, 105°15'29" E) and Typic Kanhapludults from Taman Bogo, East Lampung (05°00'08" S, 105°29'62" E). Typic Plintudults developed from acidic tuff parent material which had brown color (10 YR 4/3), moderately loose structure, sub angular blocky structure, the red rust at 15-43 cm depth, a few plinthite starting from 43-76 cm depth, and more plinthite starting from 76-110 cm depth. Typic Kanhapludults developed from acidic tuff having grayish brown color (10 YR 4/2) and moderately loose structure, sub angular blocky structure, iron and mangan concretion at 65-93 cm in depth.

### Table 1. The result of rock phosphate analysis for affectivity experiment at greenhouse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Citric Acid 2%</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DE-1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>36.41</td>
<td>35.46</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>40.86</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE-9</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>33.14</td>
<td>27.59</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>31.35</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE-3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>31.11</td>
<td>23.44</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>27.85</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KN-1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>24.66</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>34.23</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE-5</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>22.02</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>22.32</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Soil samples were taken from 0-20 cm in depth. Bulk soil sample was air-dried, grinded, and filtered using 2 mm sieve. Then, 15 kg of sieved soil sample were taken into pots. Rock phosphate and manure were evenly spreaded and paddled into the pot 2 weeks before planting. The soils in the pots were watered using free ionic water until the field capacity condition wasreached. Superphos fertilizer was evenly spreaded and mixed into the pots 1 day before planting. Urea and KCI were added twice, at 7 and 30 days after planting, as much as half dosage, dibbled beside the plant. Manure wasspreaded upon the soil surface and then puddled with the soil a week before planting.

Variety of maize used in this experiment was Hybrid Pioner 12, one plant in each pot. The soil was analyzed before treatment and after harvesting. Plant height, weight of biomass, and yield were observed. Plant height was observed in 1 and 2 months after planting and during harvesting.

Soil sample was taken from bulk soil samples and sieved using 2 mm and analyzed. Soil samples were analyzed i.e. the texture, pH (H$_2$O and 1 N KCI), organic carbon (Potassium Dicromat), total-N (Kjeldal), potential P and K extracted with 25% HCl, P extracted with Bray 1 (0.025 NHCl + 0.03 N NH$_4$F), Ca, Mg, K, Na, CEC extracted with 1 N NH$_4$OAc pH 7, Al and H extracted with 1 N KCl.

Soil samples were taken from every pot after harvesting the maize by using a little drill dipped into the pots to get the soil samples. Then, the samples were mixed, air-dried, sieved using 2 mm, and analyzed to P extracted with 25% HCl and Bray 1 (Balai Penelitian Tanah, 2005).

The data were analyzed using the ANOVA and Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) with 5% degree of accuracy using IRRISTAT software to see the differences among the treatments. By using the Relative Agronomic Effectiveness (RAE) of the each rock phosphates used in this experiment and comparing it with the RAE of Superphosphate and Tunisian rock Phosphate, the affectivity of the rock phosphate can be defined. RAE is a comparison between the yield increase caused by using a kind of fertilizer and the yield increase caused by using the standard fertilizer then multiplying them by 100 (Machay et al., 1984; Chien, 1996).

\[
RAE = \frac{\text{Yield on tested fertilizer} - \text{Yield on control plot}}{\text{Yield on standard fertilizer} - \text{Yield on control plot}} \times 100\%
\]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Soil Analysis
Typic Plintudults soil had clay structure, moderate acid (pH 4.7), low of organic carbon and N-total content, low P extracted HCl 25% and Bray 1, low K extracted HCl 25% and NH$_4$OAc 1N pH 7; low Ca, Mg, K, Na cation and CEC, low Al saturation (Table 2). The low content of P, both bonded and available, was caused by the acidic tuff parent material that was lack of P content. Soil was taken from cassava farm usually managed in unbalanced way where the nutrient added was lower than the nutrient harvested. Therefore, P nutrient content would be running out.

Typic Kanhapludults soil had clay structure, moderate acid (pH 4.6), low C-organi and N-total, low P extracted HCl 25% and Bray 1, low K extracted HCl 25% and NH$_4$OAc 1N pH 7, low Ca, Mg, K, Na cation content; low CEC and low Al saturation.

Based on their C-organic and their N, P, K, Ca, and Mg nutrient content level, the two Ultisols had equal fertility level; however, Typic Kanhapludults had lower CEC and higher Al$^{3+}$ content, where these two characteristics made the soil less fertile compared with the Typic Plintudults one, and would be more responsive to the P fertilizer.

Rock Phosphate Effectivity for Maize
Phosphate fertilizing obviously increased the Maize plant height in 1 and 2 months after planting (Table 3) at Typic Plintudults. One month after maize planting, adding rock phosphate originally from Brati, Kayen, Pati (DE-9), Padaharang, Ciamis (DE-3), and Telaga Langsat, Sungai Hulu Selatan (KN-1) have equal effect to adding Superphosphate and Tunisian Rock Phosphate. Whereas, the plant fertilized using rock phosphate from Jampan Tengah, Sukabumi (DE-1) and Karang Mulya, Ciamis (DE-5) was obviously shorter than that fertilized with Superphosphate, but tended to be equal to those fertilized using Tunisian Rock Phosphate.
Two months after planting, the plant height of maize fertilized using rock phosphate (DE-9, DE-3, and DE-5) was obviously more than those fertilized using Superphosphate; while those fertilized using rock phosphate DE-1 and KN-1 are equal to those fertilized using Superphosphate and Tunisian Rock Phosphate. Based on the plant height of those fertilized using rock phosphate DE-1, DE-9, DE-3, DE-5, and KN-1 it can be considered that the rock phosphates mentioned above are more effective for Maize growth than Superphosphate and Tunisian Rock Phosphate.

Table 2. The soil analysis result of Typic Plintudults and Typic Kanhapludults which used in greenhouse experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Characteristics</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Typic Plintudults</th>
<th>Typic Kanhapludults</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (H$_2$O)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (1 N KCl)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Matter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-organic</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-total</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/N</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracted HCl 25 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>mg/100 g</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>mg/100 g</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bray 1</td>
<td>mg P$_2$O$_5$/kg</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracted NH$_4$OAc 1 N pH 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>me/100 g</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>me/100 g</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>me/100 g</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>me/100 g</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC</td>
<td>me/100 g</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>5.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base saturation</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl/1N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$^{3+}$</td>
<td>me/100 g</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$^+$</td>
<td>me/100 g</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The effect of rock phosphate to the plant height on Typic Plintudults in greenhouse experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>1 month</th>
<th>2 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>111.7 c</td>
<td>241.6 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superphosphate</td>
<td>134.5 a</td>
<td>239.6 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate of Tunisia</td>
<td>125.0 ab</td>
<td>246.6 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate DE-1</td>
<td>121.4 b</td>
<td>248.0 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate DE-9</td>
<td>126.2 ab</td>
<td>258.4 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate DE-3</td>
<td>125.8 ab</td>
<td>258.3 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate KN-1</td>
<td>127.5 ab</td>
<td>232.9 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate DE-5</td>
<td>118.0 bc</td>
<td>270.4 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV. (%)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks: Grade inside the columns followed by the same alphabet means obviously difference at 5% degree of accuracy based on DMRT test.
Phosphate fertilizing obviously increased the plant height of maize in 1 month after planting, but not in 2 months after planting (Table 4). In 1 month after planting there was an equal plant height between maize fertilized using rock phosphate KN-1, DE-1 and DE-9 to those fertilized using standard fertilizer (Superphosphate and Tunisian Rock Phosphate). The plant height of maize fertilized using rock phosphate DE-3 and DE-5 was obviously lower than that fertilized using standard fertilizer (Superphosphate and Tunisian Rock Phosphate). The plants in 2 months after planting fertilized using rock phosphate DE-3 and KN-1 was obviously higher than that fertilized using Superphosphate. While those fertilized using rock phosphate DE-1, DE-9, and DE-5 were equal to those fertilized using Superphosphate and Tunisian Rock Phosphate. This result shows that the tested rock phosphates significantly increased the maize plant height.

Phosphate fertilizing significant increased the weight of biomass and the yield on Typic Plintudults (Table 5). The P source, added at the plots, shows the equal effects to the maize biomass weight compared with the plots fertilized using Superphosphate and Tunisian Rock Phosphate. The yield weight of maize fertilized using Superphosphate was obviously higher than those fertilized using other rock phosphates. The yield weight of maize fertilized using Indonesian Rock Phosphate tended to be equal to that fertilized using Tunisian Rock Phosphate. This condition is closely related to the slow release characteristics of rock phosphates in providing the $P_2O_5$, so that rock phosphates provide less $P_2O_5$ during the first planting season than Superphosphate.

FAO (2004) divided the result of the RAE calculation into 4 categories: RAE >90% (high), 70-90% (moderate), 30-70% (low), and < 30% (very low). The RAE of rock phosphate DE-9, DE-3, KN-1, and DE-5 on Typic Plintudults soil were categorized as high based on the biomass weight. While the RAE of rock phosphate DE-1 and Tunisian was categorized as moderate.

RAE value of all rock phosphates, including the Tunisian Rock Phosphate, was about 30-70% (low) based on the dry yield of maize. This study is linear with those done by Attanandana and Vacharotayan (1994), where RAE value of rice yield of plots added by rock phosphate and TSP in Thailand was about 13-55% (very low - low), while the residue effect was about 83-123%.

Table 4. The effect of rock phosphate on the plant height on Typic Kanhapludults in greenhouse experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>110.0 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superphosphate</td>
<td>128.7 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate of Tunisia</td>
<td>127.8 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate DE-1</td>
<td>121.2 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate DE-9</td>
<td>119.9 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate DE-3</td>
<td>118.0 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate KN-1</td>
<td>128.7 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate DE-5</td>
<td>118.7 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks: Grade inside the columns followed by the same alphabet means obviously difference at 5% degree of accuracy based on DMRT test.
The RAE value of rock phosphate was equal to Superphosphate based on the biomass weight. Whereas the RAE value of Tunisian and DE-5 was categorized as moderate, and the DE-1, DE-9, DE-3 and KN-1 rock phosphate were categorized as low based on the weight of dry yield of maize.

The weight of maize yield of the plots fertilized using Superphosphate on Typic Plintudults was higher than on Typic Kanhapludults (Table 5 and 6), for the P-available content of the Typic Plintudults soils was higher than that of Typic Kanhapludults (Table 7). This circumstance might have been caused by the lower content of exchangeable Al (Table 1). However, the maize yields of both soils fertilized using rock phosphate were relatively equal, for the soil P-available content of plots fertilized using rock phosphate was also relatively equal.

The rock phosphate fertilizing obviously increased the P soil content extracted in HCl 25% (Table 7). The soil P-available contents (extracted Bray-1) both on Typic Plintudults and Typic Kanhapludults were much higher than those fertilized using Superphosphate, for the solubility of P nutrient of Superphosphate was higher than that of rock phosphate. Superphosphate fertilizing gave higher weight of dry plant and dry yield of maize than that given by rock phosphate. (Table 5 and 6).

The P fertilizing to Typic Kanhapludults soils obviously increased the weight of biomass and the dry yield of maize. The use of rock phosphate DE-1, DE-5 and KN-1 obviously increased the weight of biomass than the control plots, but not significantly difference to the Tunisian,DE-9, DE-3 rock phosphate, and Superphosphate plot.

Phosphate fertilizing obviously increased the weight of the dry yield of maize, except the KN-1 rock phosphate. The highest yield was reached by the Superphosphate but it differed insignificantly to the plots with DE-1, DE-9, DE-3, and DE-5 rock phosphate. It means that Indonesian rock phosphates effectively increase the maize yield.

The result of this study can be used as the basis of planning field experiment and to improve the use of rock phosphate deposite from Indonesia which is useable for direct application as source of P fertilizer or as raw material of fertilizer factory.

Table 5. The effect of rock phosphate on the yield of maize on Typic Plintudults in greenhouse experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Biomass Maize Yield</th>
<th>RAE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.............g/pot.....</td>
<td>Biomass Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>79.5 b</td>
<td>57.3 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superphosphate</td>
<td>106.2 a</td>
<td>102.5 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate of Tunisia</td>
<td>102.1 a</td>
<td>86.2 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate DE-1</td>
<td>100.4 a</td>
<td>78.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate DE-9</td>
<td>109.5 a</td>
<td>71.0 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate DE-3</td>
<td>103.7 a</td>
<td>78.0 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate KN-1</td>
<td>110.9 a</td>
<td>82.3 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate DE-5</td>
<td>103.4 a</td>
<td>81.9 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV (%) 11.7 15.9

Remarks: Grade inside the columns followed by the same alphabet means obviously difference at 5% degree of accuracy based on DMRT test
Table 6. The effect of rock phosphate on the maize yield on Typic Kanhaprudults in green house experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Biomass (g/pot)</th>
<th>Dry yield (mg/100 g)</th>
<th>RAE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>111.5 b</td>
<td>54.6 c</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superphosphate</td>
<td>121.7 ab</td>
<td>89.4 a</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate of Tunisia</td>
<td>121.3 ab</td>
<td>82.2 ab</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phospahte DE-1</td>
<td>131.5 a</td>
<td>76.3 ab</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phospahte DE-9</td>
<td>125.9 ab</td>
<td>75.8 ab</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phospahte DE-3</td>
<td>124.6 ab</td>
<td>75.2 ab</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phospahte KN-1</td>
<td>130.1 a</td>
<td>69.2 bc</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phospahte DE-5</td>
<td>127.5 a</td>
<td>79.7 ab</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV. (%)</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks: Grade inside the columns followed by the same alphabet means obviously difference at 5% degree of accuracy based on DMRT test.

Table 7. The effect of rock phosphate to the content of P\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} extracted in HCl 25% and Bray 1 on Typic Plintudults and Typic Kanhaprudults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Typic Plintudults</th>
<th>Typic Kanhaprudults</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(P_2O_5) HCl 25%</td>
<td>(P_2O_5) Bray-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>13.2 d</td>
<td>25.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superphosphate</td>
<td>51.6 a</td>
<td>247.9 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phosphate of Tunisia</td>
<td>44.8 ab</td>
<td>38.4 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phospahte DE-1</td>
<td>38.4 abc</td>
<td>32.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phospahte DE-9</td>
<td>25.8 cd</td>
<td>31.3 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phospahte DE-3</td>
<td>33.2 bc</td>
<td>35.9 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phospahte KN-1</td>
<td>42.4 ab</td>
<td>45.4 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Phospahte DE-5</td>
<td>39.2 abc</td>
<td>39.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks: Grade inside the columns followed by the same alphabet means obviously difference at 5% degree of accuracy based on DMRT test.

CONCLUSIONS

Superphosphate fertilizing gave more weight of dry maize plant and yield than Indonesian Rock Phosphate. Indonesian rock phosphate effectivity was equal to that of Tunisian Rock Phosphate but less than that of Superphosphate. Rock Phosphate fertilizing significantly increased soil P content, both P-reserved and P-available, and Superphosphate did better than any other rock phosphates. The effectivity of rock...
phosphate on Typic Plinthudults was less than that on Typic Kanhapludults.
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